


LAW OFFICES  
**LOWE, PRICE, LEBLANC & BECKER**

SUITE 300

99 CANAL CENTER PLAZA  
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

703 684-1111



FACSIMILE 703 684-1124  
703 684-1145  
CABLE AMERPAT

OF COUNSEL  
HENRY SHUR  
FREDERICK C. PHILPITT\*  
ALFRED A. STADNICKI\*  
DAVID L. STEWART  
ARTHUR J. STEINER\*

\*BAR MEMBERSHIP OTHER THAN VIRGINIA

October 12, 1994

ALLAN M. LOWE\*  
ROBERT L. PRICE  
STEPHEN A. BECKER\*  
ROBERT E. LEBLANC  
ISRAEL GOPSTEIN\*  
BENJAMIN J. HAUPTMAN  
DONALD C. CASEY  
KENNETH E. KROSIN  
FRANK P. PRESTA  
CHITTARANJAN N. NIRMEL\*  
HOLLY D. KOZLOWSKI  
GENE Z. RUBINSON\*  
MICHAEL S. GZYBOWSKI  
CHARLES D. OSSOLA  
KEITH E. GEORGE  
ROBERT G. LEV\*  
EDWARD J. WISE  
CHRISTOPHER W. BRODY  
DEMETRA J. MILLS  
ROBERT P. BELL  
TIMOTHY R. DEWITT\*  
WILLIAM H. BEHA  
IRAH H. DONNER\*

AT THE INTERNATIONAL BUREAU OF WIPO

In re Application of: AMERICAN MOBILE SATELLITE CORPORATION  
Serial No.: PCT/US94/05203  
Filed: 10 May 1994  
For: MSAT MAST ANTENNA WITH REDUCED FREQUENCY SCANNING  
Attorney Docket No.: 1506-002 PCT

The International Bureau of WIPO  
34, chemin des Colombettes  
1211 Geneva 20  
SWITZERLAND

**REQUEST FOR RECTIFICATION UNDER PCT RULE 91.1(f)**

Attn: I. Britel,  
Authorized Officer

Sir or Madame:

REQUEST TO PUBLISH REQUEST FOR RECTIFICATION WITH APPLICATION

In response to the Invitation to Correct Defects dated 09 June 1994, applicant submitted 6 sheets of formal drawings. In the Form PCT/ISA/217 mailed 19 August 1994, the ISA/US responded by refusing entry of "rectified" sheets 1/6 - 3/6 of the drawings. As the ISA/US has characterized sheets 1/6 - 3/6 as a request for rectification of obvious errors, before the PCT Rule 91.1 (g<sup>ter</sup>) deadline (of 18 months from the priority date) of 10 November 1994, applicant hereby requests that sheets 1/6 - 3/6 of the drawings filed with the RO/US on 09 June 1994 (enclosed) be published with the international application, along with the following remarks. It is requested that the following remarks also be considered as part of the request for rectification of obvious errors:

All the diagonal cross-hatching, and all the vertical hatching on the cross section of outer sheath 213 in new formal Fig. 2, match the corresponding hatching in original informal Fig. 2. Any other difference in hatching between

the two Figs. 2 is merely the result of the larger scale of new formal Fig. 2.

On new formal Fig. 2A the only hatching which does not match original the Fig. 2A hatching is downward left-to-right diagonal hatching which was originally shown as cross-hatching; however, the contrast, in new formal Fig. 2A, between the cross-hatching on knurled locking bolt 203 and the diagonally hatched area (to the right of connector 216 and below base 217) is correct because this area is shown in section, unlike bolt 203, in this Fig.

The addition of reference numeral 216 in new formal Fig. 2A is supported by the inclusion of the lead line for this numeral in original informal Fig. 2A and, in the description, at page 10, line 27 and page 11, line 20.

The addition of reference numeral 226 in new formal Fig. 2A is supported by the inclusion of the lead line for this numeral in original informal Fig. 2A and, in the description, twice, at page 11, line 10.

What little actual "shading" there is in new formal Fig. 3A corresponds to the shading in original informal Fig. 3A. The detail of the two Figs. 3A appears also to correspond. Moreover, the detail of new formal Fig. 3A also is true to the description of portions of antenna 300 included in pages 15, 16, 18 and 19. The ISA/US did not specify how the detail in Figs. 3A had changed.

The ISA/US did not object to new formal Figs. 1 and 3.

A check for USD \$85.94, which is the October 1994 equivalent of 110 Swiss francs, the fee for the three sheets of drawings and the two sheets of the above remarks, as well as for the one-page cover letter for the drawings originally submitted to the RO/US on 11 July 1994 in response to the Invitation to Correct Defects, is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

LOWE, PRICE, LEBLANC & BECKER

BY 

Robert E. LeBlanc  
Reg. No. 17,219

/enclosures

11 JUL 1994

LAW OFFICES

LOWE, PRICE, LEBLANC & BECKER

SUITE 300

99 CANAL CENTER PLAZA

ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

703 684-1111

RECEIVED

30 JUL 1994

Legal Staff  
International Division

July 11, 1994

PATENT

TRADEMARK

COPYRIGHT AND

UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW

REC'D 23 AUG 1994

WIPO

PCT

FACSIMILE 703 684-1124

703 684-1145

CABLE AMERPAT

OF COUNSEL

HENRY SHUR

FREDERICK C. PHILPITT\*

ALFRED A. STADNICKI\*

DAVID L. STEWART

ARTHUR J. STEINER\*

\*BAR MEMBERSHIP OTHER THAN VIRGINIA

ALLAN M. LOWE\*  
ROBERT L. PRICE  
STEPHEN A. BECKER\*  
ROBERT E. LEBLANC  
ISRAEL GOPSTEIN\*  
BENJAMIN J. HAUPTMAN  
DONALD C. CASEY  
KENNETH E. KROSIN  
FRANK P. PRESTA  
CHITTARANJAN N. NIRMEL\*  
HOLLY D. KOZLOWSKI  
GENE Z. RUBINSON\*  
MICHAEL S. GZYBOWSKI  
CHARLES D. OSSOLA  
KEITH E. GEORGE  
ROBERT G. LEV\*  
EDWARD J. WISE  
CHRISTOPHER W. BRODY  
SHARON E. FINKEL  
DEMETRA J. MILLS  
ROBERT P. BELL  
TIMOTHY R. DEWITT\*

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:  
AMERICAN MOBILE SATELLITE CORPORATION  
Serial No.: PCT/US94/05203  
Filed: 10 May 1994  
For: MSAT MAST ANTENNA WITH REDUCED FREQUENCY SCANNING  
Attorney Docket No.: 1506-002 PCT

Honorable Commissioner of Patents  
and Trademarks  
Box PCT  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Attn: Ms. Yvette E. Sims,  
RO/US Authorized Officer

Sir or Madame:

RESPONSE TO INVITATION

In response to the Invitation dated 09 June 1994, applicant herewith submits the Power of Attorney, 6 sheets of formal drawings and new Sheet No. 3 of the Request Form.

Applicant believes that the enclosures meet all the requirements of the Invitation and that no further action is necessary.

Respectfully submitted,

LOWE, PRICE, LEBLANC & BECKER

BY:

Robert P. Bell  
Reg. No. 34,546

/enclosures